

EXPONENTIALLY MIXING, LOCALLY CONSTANT SKEW EXTENSIONS OF SHIFT MAPS

FRÉDÉRIC NAUD

ABSTRACT. We build examples of locally constant $SU_2(\mathbb{C})$ -extensions of the full shift map which are exponentially mixing for the measure of maximal entropy.

1. INTRODUCTION AND RESULT

If $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a uniformly hyperbolic map (for example an Anosov diffeomorphism or an expanding map on a smooth manifold), one can produce a *skew extension* by considering the new map $\hat{T} : X \times \mathbf{G} \rightarrow X \times \mathbf{G}$ defined by

$$\hat{T}(x, w) := (Tx, \tau^{-1}(x)w),$$

where $\mathbf{G}$ is a compact connected Lie group and $\tau : X \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ is a given $\mathbf{G}$ -valued function. Such a map is an example of partially hyperbolic system. Given a mixing invariant probability measure μ for (X, T) , the product by the normalized Haar measure m on $\mathbf{G}$ is obviously a $\hat{T}$ -invariant measure for which we can ask natural questions such as mixing, stable ergodicity etc... The qualitative ergodic theory is now fairly well understood, and we refer to the works [4, 5, 6]. The paper of Dolgopyat [6] shows that exponential mixing (for regular enough observables) is generic for extensions of smooth expanding maps, while rapid mixing is also generic for extensions of subshifts of finite type. If the map τ is *piecewise constant* on X , we call this skew extension *locally constant*. It has been observed first by Ruelle [12], that locally constant extensions of hyperbolic systems cannot be exponentially mixing when $\mathbf{G}$ is a *torus*. If $\mathbf{G} = S^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, this essentially boils down to the fact that when τ takes only *finitely many values*, Dirichlet box principle shows that one can find sequences of integers N_k such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in X} \left| e^{2i\pi N_k \tau(x)} - 1 \right| = 0.$$

For an in-depth study of locally constant *toral* extensions, we refer the reader to [7] where various rates of polynomial mixing are obtained, depending on the diophantine properties of the values of τ .

In this paper, we will show that surprisingly in the *non-commutative case*, it is possible to exhibit a large class of locally constant extensions that are *exponentially mixing*. Let us introduce some notations. Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer, and let Σ^+ be the one-sided shift space

$$\Sigma^+ = \{1, \dots, 2k\}^{\mathbb{N}},$$

Key words and phrases. Symbolic dynamics, Compact Lie group extensions, mixing rates.

endowed with the shift map $\sigma : \Sigma^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^+$ defined by

$$(\sigma\xi)_n = \xi_{n+1}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Given $0 < \theta < 1$, a standard distance d_θ on Σ^+ is defined by setting

$$d_\theta(x, \xi) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x_0 \neq \xi_0 \\ \theta^{N(x, \xi)} & \text{where } N := \max\{n \geq 1 : x_j = \xi_j \forall j \leq n\} \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Given a continuous observable $F : \Sigma^+ \times \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, which is d_θ -Lipschitz with respect to the first variable, we will use the norm $\|F\|_{\theta, \mathbf{G}}$ defined by

$$\|F\|_{\theta, \mathbf{G}}^2 := \sup_{x \in \Sigma^+} \int_{\mathbf{G}} |F(x, g)|^2 dm(g) + \sup_{x \neq \xi} \frac{1}{d_\theta^2(x, \xi)} \int_{\mathbf{G}} |F(x, g) - F(\xi, g)|^2 dm(g).$$

We will denote by $\mathcal{W}_{\theta, \mathbf{G}}^2$ the completion of the space of continuous functions $F(x, g)$ on $\Sigma^+ \times \mathbf{G}$, which are d_θ -Lipschitz with respect to x for the $\|\cdot\|_{\theta, \mathbf{G}}$ norm.

Pick $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k \in \mathbf{G}$ and set for all $\xi \in \Sigma^+$,

$$\tau(\xi) = \tau_{\xi_0} \text{ if } \xi_0 \in \{1, \dots, k\}, \text{ and } \tau(\xi) = \tau_{\xi_0-k}^{-1} \text{ if } \xi_0 \in \{k+1, \dots, 2k\}.$$

Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let μ be the measure of maximal entropy on Σ^+ . Assume that $\mathbf{G} = SU_2(\mathbb{C})$ and suppose that the group generated by*

$$\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k, \tau_1^{-1}, \dots, \tau_k^{-1}$$

is Zariski dense in $\mathbf{G}$ and that $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k$ all have algebraic entries. Then there exist $C > 0$, $0 < \gamma < 1$ such that for all $F \in L^2(\Sigma^+ \times \mathbf{G}, d\mu dm)$, $G \in \mathcal{W}_{\theta, \mathbf{G}}^2$ we have for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\left| \int_{\Sigma^+ \times \mathbf{G}} (F \circ \hat{\sigma}^n) G d\mu dm - \int F d\mu dm \int G d\mu dm \right| \leq C \gamma^n \|F\|_{L^2} \|G\|_{\theta, \mathbf{G}}.$$

In other words, we have exponential decay of correlations for Hölder/ L^2 -observables on $\Sigma^+ \times \mathbf{G}$, in sharp contrast with the Abelian case. This surprising behaviour will follow from a deep result of Bourgain-Gamburd [3]. Extensions to more general groups are possible in view of the results obtained more recently [2, 1]. It is also very likely that the result holds for more general equilibrium measures on Σ^+ , not just the measure of maximal entropy, and more general subshifts of finite type. This work should be pursued elsewhere.

A corollary of this exponential rate of mixing is the following Central limit theorem for random products in $SU_2(\mathbb{C})$, which may be of independent interest.

Corollary 1.2. *Let $F \in \mathcal{W}_{\theta, \mathbf{G}}^2$ be real valued, and consider the random variable on the probability space $(\Sigma^+ \times \mathbf{G}, \mu \times m)$*

$$Z_n := \frac{S_n(F)(\xi, g) - n \int \int F d\mu dm}{\sqrt{n}},$$

where we have set

$$S_n(F)(\xi, g) = F(\xi, g) + F(\sigma\xi, \tau_{\xi_0}^{-1}g) + \dots + F(\sigma^{n-1}\xi, \tau_{\xi_{n-2}}^{-1} \dots \tau_{\xi_0}^{-1}g).$$

Then there exists $\sigma_F \geq 0$ such that as $n \rightarrow \infty$, Z_n converges to a gaussian variable $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_F)$ in law.

The validity of this CLT for generic extensions of hyperbolic systems (including locally constant extensions) and smooth enough F with respect to the $\mathbf{G}$ -variable is due to Dolgopyat in [6]. The point of our result is that *no smoothness* is required on F with respect to the $\mathbf{G}$ -variable, which is unusual in the CLT literature for hyperbolic systems. In particular, if $F(\xi, g) = f(g)$ is in $L^2(\mathbf{G})$, then the CLT holds. This CLT follows directly from absolute summability of correlation functions and the result of Liverani [11], more details can be found at the end of section § 2.

2. PROOFS

2.1. Bourgain-Gamburd's spectral gap result. As mentioned earlier, the proof is based on a result of Bourgain-Gamburd [3] on the spectral gap of certain Hecke operators acting on $L^2(\mathbf{G})$. We start by recalling their result. Given $S = \{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k\} \subset \mathbf{G}$, one consider the operator given by

$$T_S(f)(g) := \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{\ell=1}^k (f(\tau_\ell g) + f(\tau_\ell^{-1} g)).$$

This operator T_S is self-adjoint on $L^2(\mathbf{G})$ and leaves invariant the one-dimensional space of constant functions. Let $L_0^2(\mathbf{G})$ be

$$L_0^2(\mathbf{G}) := \left\{ f \in L^2(\mathbf{G}) : \int f dm = 0 \right\}.$$

The main result of [3] asserts that if the generators $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k$ have algebraic entries and the group

$$\Gamma = \langle \tau_1, \dots, \tau_k, \tau_1^{-1}, \dots, \tau_k^{-1} \rangle$$

is Zariski dense, then T_S has a spectral gap i.e.

$$\|T_S|_{L_0^2(\mathbf{G})}\| < 1.$$

By self-adjointness, this is equivalent to say that the L^2 -spectrum of T_S consists of the simple eigenvalue $\{1\}$ while the rest of the spectrum is included in a disc of radius $\rho < 1$. A special case is when Γ is a free group, which is enough for many applications. A consequence of the spectral gap property is the following. If T_S has a spectral gap then there exists $0 < \rho < 1$ such that for all $n \geq 0$ and $f \in L^2$,

$$(1) \quad \left\| T_S^n(f) - \int f dm \right\|_{L^2} \leq 2\rho^n \|f\|_{L^2}.$$

This deep result of spectral gap is related to the (now solved) Ruziewicz measure problem on invariant means on the sphere. For more details on the genesis of these problems and explicit examples, we refer the reader to the book of Sarnak [13], chapter 2, and to the paper [8] which was the starting point of [3]. This estimate is the main ingredient of the proof, combined with a decoupling argument and exponential mixing of the measure of maximal

entropy. We recall that given a finite word $\alpha \in \{1, \dots, 2k\}^n$, the *cylinder set* $[\alpha]$ of length $|\alpha| = n$ associated to α is just the set

$$[\alpha] := \{\xi \in \Sigma^+ : \xi_0 = \alpha_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1} = \alpha_n\}.$$

We will use the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *For each $\alpha \in \{1, \dots, 2k\}^n$, choose a sequence $\xi_\alpha \in [\alpha]$. let F be an observable with*

$$\|F\|_{\theta, \mathbf{G}} < +\infty.$$

Then we have the bound for all $n \geq 1$,

$$\left| \frac{1}{(2k)^n} \sum_{|\alpha|=n} \int_G F(\xi_\alpha, g) dm(g) - \int \int F d\mu dm \right| \leq \|F\|_{\theta, \mathbf{G}} \theta^n.$$

Proof. This is a rephrasing of the fact that the measure of maximal entropy is exponentially mixing for Hölder observables on Σ^+ . Indeed, recall that in our case, the measure of maximal entropy μ is just the Bernoulli measure such that

$$\mu([\alpha]) = \frac{1}{(2k)^n}$$

when $|\alpha| = n$. By Schwarz inequality, for all $x \in [\alpha]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_G F(x, g) dm(g) - \int_G F(\xi_\alpha, g) dm(g) \right| &\leq \|F\|_{\theta, \mathbf{G}} d_\theta(\xi_\alpha, x) \\ &\leq \|F\|_{\theta, \mathbf{G}} \theta^n. \end{aligned}$$

Writing

$$\int \int F d\mu dm = \sum_{|\alpha|=n} \int_{[\alpha]} \int_G F(x, g) dm(g),$$

we deduce

$$\left| \sum_{|\alpha|=n} \mu([\alpha]) \int_G F(\xi_\alpha, g) dm(g) - \int \int F d\mu dm \right| \leq \|F\|_{\theta, \mathbf{G}} \theta^n,$$

and the proof is done. $\square$

In the sequel, we will use the following notation: given a finite word $\alpha \in \{1, \dots, 2k\}^n$ and $\xi \in \Sigma^+$, we will denote by $\alpha\xi$ the concatenation of the two words i.e. the new sequence $\alpha\xi \in \Sigma^+$ such that $(\alpha\xi)_j = \alpha_{j+1}$ for $j = 0, \dots, n-1$ and $\sigma^n(\alpha\xi) = \xi$. We recall that given $f, g \in C^0(\Sigma^+)$, we have the *transfer operator identity*

$$\int_{\Sigma^+} (f \circ \sigma^n) g d\mu = \int_{\Sigma^+} f \mathcal{L}^n(g) d\mu,$$

where we have

$$\mathcal{L}^n(g)(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2k)^n} \sum_{|\alpha|=n} g(\alpha\xi).$$

This identity follows straightforwardly from the σ -invariance of the measure μ and its value on cylinder sets. Notice that the operator $\mathcal{L}$ is *normalized* i.e. satisfies

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1},$$

which will be used throughout all the computations below. Notice also that using the above notations, we have for all $f \in L^2(\mathbf{G})$, for all $x \in \Sigma^+$,

$$T_S(f)(g) = \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{\ell=1}^{2k} f(\tau(\ell x)g),$$

while

$$T_S^n(f)(g) = \frac{1}{(2k)^n} \sum_{|\beta|=n} f(\tau(\beta x) \dots \tau(\beta_{n-1} \beta_n x) \tau(\beta_n x)g).$$

The fact that $\tau(x)$ depends only on the first coordinate of x is critical in the above identities.

2.2. Main proof. We now move on to the proof of the main result. Let $F, G \in C^0(\Sigma^+ \times \mathbf{G})$, and compute the correlation function:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \int (F \circ \widehat{\sigma}^n) G d\mu dm \\ &= \int \int F(\sigma^n x, \tau^{-1}(\sigma^{n-1} x) \dots \tau^{-1}(\sigma x) \tau^{-1}(x) g) G(x, g) d\mu(x) dm(g). \end{aligned}$$

By using Fubini and translation invariance of the Haar measure we get

$$\int \int (F \circ \widehat{\sigma}^n) G d\mu dm = \int \int F(\sigma^n x, g) G(x, \tau^{(n)}(x) g) dm(x) dm(g),$$

where

$$\tau^{(n)}(x) = \tau(x) \tau(\sigma x) \dots \tau(\sigma^{n-1} x).$$

Again by Fubini and the transfer operator formula we get

$$\int \int (F \circ \widehat{\sigma}^n) G d\mu dm = \int \int F \widehat{\mathcal{L}}^n(G) d\mu dm,$$

where

$$\widehat{\mathcal{L}}^n(G)(x, g) = \frac{1}{(2k)^n} \sum_{|\alpha|=n} G(\alpha x, \tau^{(n)}(\alpha x) g).$$

The main result will follow from the following estimate.

Proposition 2.2. *There exist $C > 0$ and $0 < \gamma < 1$ such that for all $n \geq 1$,*

$$\sup_{x \in \Sigma^+} \left\| \widehat{\mathcal{L}}^n(G)(x, g) - \int \int G d\mu dm \right\|_{L^2(\mathbf{G})} \leq C \|G\|_{\theta, \mathbf{G}} \gamma^n.$$

Indeed, write

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \int F \widehat{\mathcal{L}}^n(G) d\mu dm - \int \int F d\mu dm \int \int G d\mu dm \\ &= \int \int F \left(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}^n(G) - \int \int G d\mu dm \right) d\mu dm, \end{aligned}$$

and use Schwarz inequality combined with the above estimate to get the conclusion of the main theorem. Let us prove Proposition 2.2. Writing $n = n_1 + n_2$, we get

$$\widehat{\mathcal{L}}^n(G)(x, g) = \frac{1}{(2k)^{n_1}} \sum_{|\alpha|=n_1} \frac{1}{(2k)^{n_2}} \sum_{|\beta|=n_2} G(\alpha \beta x, \tau^{(n)}(\alpha \beta x) g).$$

Observe that we have (since τ depends only on the first coordinate)

$$\tau^{(n)}(\alpha\beta x) = \underbrace{\tau(\alpha\beta x) \dots \tau(\alpha_{n_1}\beta x)}_{\text{depends only on } \alpha} \underbrace{\tau(\beta x) \dots \tau(\beta_{n_2}x)}_{\text{depends only on } \beta}.$$

For all word $\alpha \in \{1, \dots, 2k\}^{n_1}$, we choose $\xi_\alpha \in [\alpha]$, and set

$$G_\alpha(g) := G(\xi_\alpha, \tau_{\alpha_1} \dots \tau_{\alpha_{n_1}} g).$$

We now have

$$\widehat{\mathcal{L}}^n(G)(x, g) = \frac{1}{(2k)^{n_1}} \sum_{|\alpha|=n_1} T_S^{n_2}(G_\alpha)(g) + \mathcal{R}_n(x, g),$$

where the "remainder" $\mathcal{R}_n(x, g)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_n(x, g) = \\ \frac{1}{(2k)^{n_1}} \sum_{|\alpha|=n_1} \frac{1}{(2k)^{n_2}} \sum_{|\beta|=n_2} \left(G(\alpha\beta x, \tau^{(n)}(\alpha\beta x)g) - G_\alpha(\tau_{\beta_1} \dots \tau_{\beta_{n_2}} g) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that for all $x \in \Sigma^+$, we have by translation invariance of Haar measure,

$$\left\| G(\alpha\beta x, \tau^{(n)}(\alpha\beta x)g) - G_\alpha(\tau_{\beta_1} \dots \tau_{\beta_{n_2}} g) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbf{G})} \leq \|G\|_{\theta, \mathbf{G}} d_\theta(\alpha\beta x, \xi_\alpha),$$

and thus

$$\|\mathcal{R}_n(x, g)\|_{L^2(\mathbf{G})} \leq \theta^{n_1} \|G\|_{\theta, \mathbf{G}}.$$

On the other hand, using Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{1}{(2k)^{n_1}} \sum_{|\alpha|=n_1} T_S^{n_2}(G_\alpha)(g) - \int \int G d\mu dm \right\|_{L^2(\mathbf{G})} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{(2k)^{n_1}} \sum_{|\alpha|=n_1} \left\| T_S^{n_2}(G_\alpha) - \int_{\mathbf{G}} G(\xi_\alpha, g) dm(g) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbf{G})} + O(\|G\|_{\theta, \mathbf{G}} \theta^{n_1}). \end{aligned}$$

By the spectral gap property (1),

$$\left\| T_S^{n_2}(G_\alpha) - \int_{\mathbf{G}} G(\xi_\alpha, g) dm(g) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbf{G})} \leq 2\rho^{n_2} \|G_\alpha\|_{L^2(\mathbf{G})} \leq 2\rho^{n_2} \|G\|_{\theta, \mathbf{G}},$$

therefore we have obtained, uniformly in $x \in \Sigma^+$,

$$\left\| \widehat{\mathcal{L}}^n(G)(x, g) - \int \int G d\mu dm \right\|_{L^2(\mathbf{G})} = O(\|G\|_{\theta, \mathbf{G}} (\theta^{n_1} + \rho^{n_2})),$$

and the proof ends by choosing $n_1 = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$, $n_2 = n - n_1$. $\square$

In the proof, we have used no specific information about the group $\mathbf{G}$, except that T_S has a spectral gap. Therefore the main theorem extends without modification to the case of $\mathbf{G} = SU_d(\mathbb{C})$ and more generally any compact connected simple Lie group by [1].

We also point out that the rate of mixing obtained is $O((\max\{\sqrt{\theta}, \sqrt{\rho}\})^n)$, which can be made explicit if T_S has an explicit spectral gap, see [8] for some examples arising from quaternionic lattices. On the other hand, if

$$\Gamma = \langle \tau_1, \dots, \tau_k, \tau_1^{-1}, \dots, \tau_k^{-1} \rangle$$

is free, it follows from a result of Kesten [10] that the L^2 -spectrum of T_S contains the full continuous segment

$$\left[-\frac{\sqrt{2k-1}}{k}, +\frac{\sqrt{2k-1}}{k} \right],$$

which suggests that the rate of mixing cannot exceed $O\left(\left(\frac{\sqrt{2k-1}}{k}\right)^n\right)$.

2.3. Central Limit Theorem. The CLT, has stated in the introduction follows from the paper of Liverani [11], section §2 on non invertible, onto maps. Following his notations we have for all $F \in L^2(dmd\mu)$,

$$T(F) = F \circ \widehat{\sigma},$$

while the L^2 -adjoint T^* is $T^* = \widehat{\mathcal{L}}$. Given $F \in \mathcal{W}_{\theta, \mathbf{G}}^2$ with $\int \int F dmd\mu = 0$, we know that :

(1) we have by Theorem 1.1

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \left| \int \int (F \circ \widehat{\sigma}^\ell) F d\mu dm \right| < \infty,$$

(2) by Proposition 2.2, the series

$$\sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} \widehat{\mathcal{L}}^\ell(F),$$

converges absolutely almost surely (actually in $L^1(dmd\mu)$).

We can therefore apply Theorem 1.1 from [11], which says that the CLT holds and that the variance σ_F is vanishing if and only if F is a coboundary. Alternatively, since we have a spectral gap for $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}^{-1}$ one could also use a spectral method and perturbation theory to prove the CLT, see [9] for a survey on this approach for proving central limit theorems.

Acknowledgments. Frédéric Naud is supported by ANR GeRaSic and Institut universitaire de France.

REFERENCES

- [1] Yves Benoist and Nicolas de Saxcé. A spectral gap theorem in simple Lie groups. *Invent. Math.*, 205(2):337–361, 2016.
- [2] J. Bourgain and A. Gamburd. A spectral gap theorem in $SU(d)$. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 14(5):1455–1511, 2012.
- [3] Jean Bourgain and Alex Gamburd. On the spectral gap for finitely-generated subgroups of $SU(2)$. *Invent. Math.*, 171(1):83–121, 2008.
- [4] M. I. Brin. The topology of group extensions of C -systems. *Mat. Zametki*, 18(3):453–465, 1975.
- [5] Keith Burns and Amie Wilkinson. Stable ergodicity of skew products. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 32(6):859–889, 1999.
- [6] Dmitry Dolgopyat. On mixing properties of compact group extensions of hyperbolic systems. *Israel J. Math.*, 130:157–205, 2002.
- [7] Stefano Galatolo, Jérôme Rousseau, and Benoit Saussol. Skew products, quantitative recurrence, shrinking targets and decay of correlations. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 35(6):1814–1845, 2015.

¹Proposition 2.1 can indeed be refined to show that $\widehat{\mathcal{L}} : \mathcal{W}_{\theta, \mathbf{G}}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{\theta, \mathbf{G}}^2$ has a spectral gap, but we have chosen here the shortest path.

- [8] Alex Gamburd, Dmitry Jakobson, and Peter Sarnak. Spectra of elements in the group ring of $SU(2)$. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 1(1):51–85, 1999.
- [9] Sébastien Gouëzel. Limit theorems in dynamical systems using the spectral method. In *Hyperbolic dynamics, fluctuations and large deviations*, volume 89 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 161–193. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2015.
- [10] Harry Kesten. Symmetric random walks on groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 92:336–354, 1959.
- [11] Carlangelo Liverani. Central limit theorem for deterministic systems. In *International Conference on Dynamical Systems (Montevideo, 1995)*, volume 362 of *Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser.*, pages 56–75. Longman, Harlow, 1996.
- [12] David Ruelle. Flots qui ne mélangent pas exponentiellement. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 296(4):191–193, 1983.
- [13] Peter Sarnak. *Some applications of modular forms*, volume 99 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.

LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES D’AVIGNON, CAMPUS JEAN-HENRI FABRE, 301
RUE BARUCH DE SPINOZA, 84916 AVIGNON CEDEX 9.

E-mail address: frederic.naud@univ-avignon.fr